OUTCOME OF MEMBER CONSULTATION ON THE

PROPOSED CHANGES TO COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULES

COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE	CONSULTATION RESPONSE	CRWP COMMENTS & PFH RECOMMENDATIONS
		practice. No recommendations were made by the CRWP to change the existing
		PFH RecommendationsTo remain with the existingprocedure, this is equality compliantand follows best practice.

COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE	CONSULTATION RESPONSE	CRWP COMMENTS & PFH RECOMMENDATIONS
5. Time and Place of		CRWP Comments
Meetings	Objection to new	
The time and place of Council meetings will be notified in the summons.	proposed start time of 7.00 p.m. for Full Council meetings. It is has been expressed that this is too early	CRWP have recommended this change to allow sufficient time within the Council Meeting to enable Motions on Notice to be debated on the night.
Meetings will normally be held at 7.30 p.m . 7.00 p.m. in the Princes Theatre, Town Hall,	for those, who work during the day.	Portfolio Holder's Recommendation
Clacton-on-Sea and the Council may, from time to time, vary the place,	The finish time, it was felt, should not be prescribed, but there	(as set out within the Cabinet Report dated 18 January 2019)
date or hour of a meeting or meetings. Where it is not practicable for the Council to meet to agree a variation, such variation shall be determined by the Chairman (or failing him the Vice-Chairman) of the Council.	could, perhaps, be an aspiration in the rules that the Chairman should seek both to manage the business on the agenda and conduct the meeting such that it aims to finish at a reasonable time.	The start time of 7.30pm for Ordinary Full Council meetings remains unchanged recognising that a proportion of elected councillors are employed.
12. Procedure for Motions on Notice	Strong objection to	CRWP Comments
The proposed changes to the Council Procedure Rules were to allow all motions to be dealt with on the night, unless a notice of referral was received and following a vote, being referred to	the idea that all motions get debated on the night. There would be the potential for uninformed decisions being made. Due to either "loose" wording leading to unforeseen and unintended	The proposed changes were considered a more democratic approach to allow any elected member to move a motion and, once seconded, be able to explain to Full Council the reasons behind it. If any other elected member felt it was necessary for further work to be undertaken prior to final consideration, an amendment could be moved and if
the appropriate body for consideration.	consequences or more importantly financial implications.	carried, referred to the appropriate body.
	There is no objection to motions being taken on the night that cannot possibly bind or commit the Council.	The current procedure does not provide the Chairman with any flexibility or discretion to allow a motion to be dealt with on the night. In addition, it is considered more democratic to transfer the decision on whether a motion should be referred, to Full Council rather than rest in the hande of the Chairman
	Where the Chairman feels it is appropriate	rest in the hands of the Chairman.

he has taken debate on the night in	PFH Recommendation
accordance with the existing rules.	Refer to the body of the Report.
The current procedure works really well and is far tighter than it was previously.	•